POSSIBLE 'LOST WAYS' PROJECT - PRoW Claims Analysis

1	Is the PRoW claim worth all			No point in claiming an immediately	
	the effort?	No	-	parallel path or to gain a negligible	
	Yes		→	reduction in distance travelled	
	¥				
2	Is the path currently a			No FAN (No further action needed)	
	PRoW?	Yes	_		
	No ✔		→		
3	Is the path the subject of a			No FAN apart from researching current	
	current or past claim?	Yes	_	position or reasons for refusal	
	No		→		
		V			
4	Is it an adopted highway? No	Yes	→	If shown as such on the "list of streets" or digital equivalent thereof – No FAN	
	-				
-		V			
5	Is it on Access Land?	Yes	→	No FAN unless there is a clear need to seek PRoW status on moorland or	
				uncultivated land, or there are 20 years	
	Νο			of continuous use prior to Crow Act 2000	
	↓ ↓				
6	Is it covered by a Section			No FAN possible as the landowner has	
	31A Highway Act 1980	Yes	•	granted and registered permissive use	
	declaration? No		→	only unless 20 years continuous use	
	NO V			before the declaration was deposited	
7	Could PRoW status be			Not necessarily a lost way. Consider	
	achieved by virtue of 20			making a claim based on 20 continuous	
	years of continuous			years of public use	
	unfettered use?	Yes	_		
	No Ju		7		
8	Does the potential claim rely			Start collecting historical evidence but	
-	solely on historic evidence?	Yes		continue to assess the potential gain in	
	Assess options		→	view of effort/time	
	\mathbf{V}				
9	Is the potential claim on land		-	Is PRoW status necessary? If land is	
	owned by a local council?	Yes	→	threatened by a sale for instance.	
				Possibly PRoW status can by achieved	
	by negotiation rather than a claim Based on the flowchart being trialled by Chesterfield & NE Derbyshire Ramblers				

Based on the flowchart being trialled by Chesterfield & NE Derbyshire Ramblers